The International Association of Falconry and Conservation of Birds of Prey
Internationale Vereinigung für Falknerei und Greifvogelschutz

Zwanzig Jahre Internationale Vereinigung für Falknerei und Greifvogelschutz IAF

Vor zwanzig Jahren wurde die Internationale Vereinigung für Falknerei und Greifvogelschutz IAF gegründet. Dieser Anlass ist wohl vertretbar, einen Rückblick auf die Vergangenheit dieser Vereinigung der bedeutendsten Falknerverbände zu richten.


Auf der Gründungsversammlung waren folgende Organisationen durch die angeführten Delegierten vertreten:

Deutscher Falkenorden
British Falcons’ Club
Schweizerische Falkenvereinigung
Nederlands Valkensvereniging
Circolo dei Falconeri
Österreichischer Falkenverein


Beschluss der Grundsätze der IAF.
Aufnahme der IAF als Mitglied des Internationalen Jagdrates zur Erhaltung des Wildes CIC durch die Generalversammlung des CIC in Nairobi im Mai 1968.

Im Juni 1973 vertrat der Präsident die IAF bei der Generalversammlung des CIC in Warschau. Im Verlauf dieser Versammlung wurde von CIC eine Empfehlung zum vollständigen Schutz der ganzen Familie der Greifvögel gegeben.

Im Oktober 1973 beschloß die IAF in Petronell eine Resolution, welche die internationalen Jagd- und Naturschutzorganisationen auffordert, für den Schutz der Greifvögel und die Erhaltung der Falknerei einzutreten. In dieser Resolution wurden auch die Grundsätze der IAF dargelegt.


Vom Oktober 1975 bis Oktober 1978 leitete Toni Lutz die IAF. Innerhalb dieser Periode trat folgendes beachtenswert.

Herausgabe der Zeitschrift "Captive Breeding" und Vermittlung eines umfangreichen Erfahrungsaustausches zwischen den Zuchtgruppen durch Dr. R.E. Kenward.


In Mai 1979 tagte im Rahmen der Generalversammlung des CIC in Athen die neu gegründete Arbeitsgruppe für Falknerei des CIC unter dem Vorsitz von Comte Charles de Canay.

Die Kommission "Zugvögel" des CIC gab in Athen aufgrund der Ausführungen der Arbeitsgruppe für Falknerei eine Empfehlung, in der die IAF als die Organisation anerkannt wurde, die die Interessen der Falknerei im CIC zu vertreten.

Leider war mit diesem einmaligen Auftreten die Tätigkeit der Arbeitsgruppe für Falknerei im CIC erschöpft und diese konnte erst fünf Jahre später durch sich wieder ins Leben gerufen werden.

Ausschaltung und Genehmigung der Statuten der IAF.
Ausschaltung und Genehmigung des Verhaltenskodes der IAF.
Organisation der im Oktober 1981 in Oxford stattgefundene "Conference on Understanding the Gosawk" durch Dr. R.E. Kenward.

Von Oktober 1986 bis heute ist Christian de Quenne Präsident der IAF. Dieser Zeitraum gehört noch zu wenig der Geschichte an, als daß darüber ein beratender Bericht abgegeben werden könnte. Seine bisherige Arbeit zeigt jedoch, daß sie durchaus dem Erfolg seiner Vorgänger gerecht wird.

Außer den angeführten Ergebnissen sind noch viele andere zu verzeichnen, welche Delegierte der IAF bei CIC, ICBP, CITES, UCC und ähnlichen Organisationen für die Sache der Falknerei und des Greifvogelschutzes erzielen konnten, wenngleich diese auch nicht besonders erwähnt wurden.

Die wichtigsten Ziele der internationalen Vereinigung für Falknerei und Greifvogelschutz IAF sind:

1. die Beizügel zu erhalten und die Ausbildung der Falkner zu fördern;
2. die Greifvogelforschung und die Gefangenschaftszucht zu unterstützen;
3. die nationalen Gesetzesgebungen und Praktiken bezüglich der Falknerei und Greifvogelhaltung in Einklang zu bringen;
4. das Vorhalten der Falkner durch einen für alle Mitglieder gültigen Verhaltenskodex zu regeln;
5. die Greifvögel durch Festlegung internationaler Regeln zu schützen;
6. die Öffentlichkeit ein richtiges Bild von der Falknerei zu vermitteln.

Der IAF gehören die für ihr Land repräsentativen Falknerorganisationen von Belgien, Dänemark, Deutschland, Frankreich, Großbritannien, Irland, Italien, Japan, Niederlande, Österreich, Polen, Schweiz, Spanien, Südafrika, Tunesien und Ungarn an.

Der Internationalen Vereinigung für Falknerei und Greifvogelschutz IAF ist zu verdanken, daß die Beizügel heute wieder den ihm gehörenden Platz in Jagdgeschichten einnehmen und daß die Falkner von den Vogel- und Naturschutzorganisationen als engagierte Greifvogelschützer anerkannt werden.

Heinz Pils

---

14th October, at a reception given at Petronell Castle by Count Ahensperg-Traun on the occasion of the meeting of the Austrianischesverband. Representatives of the following countries agreed unanimously on the creation of an international association: GERMANY: Gustl Eutermoser, Christian Saar. Otto Witt; GREAT BRITAIN: Cyril Morley, Sidney Mac Phail; FRANCE: Gilles Hortier; NETHERLANDS: Gerrit van Nie, Paul Corten; CZECHOSLOVAKIA: Jiří Svoboda, Jiří Herold; AUSTRIA: Heinz Pils, Theo Maivald.

Heinz Pils has been entrusted with preparing the constitution of an international association.
1968

9th April, in 'Zweibrucken Hof', Dusseldorf, the constituent assembly took place under the chairmanship of Heinz Pilz. It was attended by:

GERMANY: Heinz Pilz, Dietrich Gute, Gusti Kucsermoser.

SWEDEN: Carl Mörby.

SWITZERLAND: Toni Lutz, Pierre Basset.

NETHERLANDS: Gerrit van Hie.

ITALY: Umberto Capconi di Tallardo.

AUSTRIA: Heinz Pilz.

The INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION FOR FALCONRY AND CONSERVATION OF BIRDS OF PREY was born.

Jack Mavrogordato is elected first president of the IAF.

In May, the CIC admitted IAF as member.

Jack Mavrogordato attends, as president of the BFC, the Conference of the European Continental Section of ICBP, where falconry was the target of very severe attacks.

1970

The 15th World Conference of the ICBP. Texel, Holland, was attended by Jack Mavrogordato.

IAF has been admitted as the representative of falconry at the ICBP conferences.

1972

Jack Mavro attends the 10th Conference of the European Continental Section of ICBP in Rumania. For the first time, IAF was accorded a separate and independant status amongst the other international associations like WWF, UNESCO etc... IAF was the only sporting organisation with such a status. Bitter attacks were made against falconry. A resolution was adopted concerning trade in birds of prey, full prohibition is requested except under licence for approved purposes.

In October, Count Otto Abensperg-Traun is elected IAF president.

1973

At its general meeting in Petronell (Austria), IAF adopted a resolution on falconry and conservation of birds of prey: this resolution has been sent to all important organisations (CIC, ICBP, WWF, etc...). It laid down the principles of birds of prey protection and the practice of falconry, it called upon the great international organisations in order that they ensure the survival of falconry and support of captive breeding of hawks.

1974

Antony Jack attends the XVI ICBP World Congress in Canberra (Australia), he had to cope with severe opposition to falconry. He succeeded in having ICBP accepting and sending to the national sections a text encouraging them to collaborate and exchange informations with hunting and falconry organisations.

Count Abensperg-Traun represents falconry at the 21st general meeting of CIC in Thebergen. A resolution on falconry is adopted and will be sent to all governments.

1975

In October, ICBP holds its First World Conference on Birds of Prey in Vienna.

IAF was one of the sponsors of the conference. Anthony Jack who represented IAF made a speech at the opening of the conference.

Robert Kenward gave a paper on the role of falconry in captive breeding.

IAF proposed a resolution on falconry and helped drafting another one, both were adopted.

These resolutions must be considered as an historical event in favour of falconry. These resolutions are the basis of the policy of the great conservation organisations. ICBP of course but also WWF, they are still now the main scientific argument in support of falconry.

Falconry was the target of severe oppositions which could not be closed to its abolition.

The Vienna Resolutions have saved the life of falconry!

Toni Lutz is elected IAF President.

1976

Anthony Jack represents IAF at the Conference of European Continental Section of ICBP at Rudolfzell (W. Germany). He collaborated in the discussion about resolutions on the trade in wildlife.

1977

IAF together with the British Falconers Club hold a three days conference in Oxford under the title 'Bird of Prey Management Techniques' organised by Robert Kenward.

It has been a great success: ornithologists, vets and falconers from 18 countries attended the conference.

At the general meeting of IAF in Oxford, the suggestion to create within the CIC a falconry commission was adopted.

Anthony Jack on his own initiative alerted the EEC falconry clubs within the IAF about a proposal for a Directive on bird conservation.

If adopted as such, this text would have meant the end of falconry in the EEC.

Very few countries reacted on Anthony Jack's warning. The interventions which followed resulted in the Directive 79/409 which clearly allows falconry and permits the governments to derogate in favour of falconry.

Once more falconry was saved!
1978

Anthony Jack represents IAF at the World Conference of ICBP in Ohrid (Yugoslavia).

Pierce Baasert represents IAF at the CITC general meeting in Sofia at which the decision has been taken to create within CITC a working group on falconry and conservation of birds of prey.

The IAF general meeting in Lueck (W. Germany) elects Count Charles de Ganay as its president. At the same meeting it is decided to work out statutes for the IAF.

1979

IAF general meeting in Paris. The draft statutes made by Charles de Ganay are discussed, amended and adopted. For the first time IAF has statutes of an international association.

General meeting of CITC in Athens. The newly founded working group on Falconry and Conservation of Birds of prey meets with Charles de Ganay as president. The CITC adopts a recommendation stating that IAF is best able to represent falconry in the CITC.

1980

Anthony Jack represents IAF at the European Continental Section of ICBP in Malta.

Dr del Mastro Calvetti represents IAF at the CITC meeting in Rome.

1981

IAF holds a three days conference in Oxford under the title: 'Understanding the Goshawk', organised by Robert Kenward. This conference achieved a great success.

Roger Thacker (TSA) represents IAF at the Conference of the Parties to the CITES in Delhi (India) and obtains the down listing to Appendix II of the North American population of the Gyr Falcon.

Anthony Jack represents IAF at the European Continental Section of ICBP in Holland.

Peter Sapara represents IAF at the meeting of CITC in Munich.

1982


Anthony Jack represents IAF at the ICBP World Congress in Cambridge.

Dr B.U. Meyburg attends IAF meeting and assists in revising the IAF Code of Conduct.

1983

Anthony Jack represents IAF at the European Continental Section of ICBP in France.

1984

IAF holds its general meeting in Sogel (W. Germany), a resolution is adopted on illegal traffic in birds of prey.

CITC working group holds its meeting in Sogel.

CITC general meeting in Innsbruck reorganises the Working Group on Falconry and Conservation of Birds of prey.

C. de Coune (Belgium) is elected IAF president.

1985

C. de Coune represents IAF at the Conference of the European Continental Section of ICBP in Rapperswill (Switzerland).

C. de Coune represents IAF at the Conference of the Parties to the CITES in Buenos Aires in order to counter a proposal aiming at re-listing the North American Gyrfalcon to Appendix I. The proposal has been adopted.

C. de Coune represents IAF at the Raptor Research Foundation Conference in Sacramento (USA), the biggest raptor conference ever held with 900 participants. He had a resolution adopted recognising the role of falconers in bird of prey conservation.

IAF decides to draft a Falconry Position Statement.

IAF general meeting in Woodhall Spa (UK), a recommendation is adopted on the compulsory ringing of all falconry birds.

1986

CITC working group meets in Steyr (Austria), a guideline for legislations on falconry and hawk keeping and a recommendation to the governments on falconry and taking birds of prey from the wild have been worked out.

IAF general meeting in Hambach (W. Germany): the Code of Conduct is slightly amended; a recommendation is adopted asking that the surplus of captive bred birds be given to reproduction projects; a recommendation is made to the Danish government to adopt a favourable attitude towards falconry.

IAF is admitted as observer at the Standing Committee of the Bern Convention of the Council of Europe.

Robert Kenward represents IAF at the meeting of the Raptor Research Foundation in Gainesville (USA) where a Falconry Position Statement is being worked out.

1987

C. de Coune represents IAF at the Third World Conference on Birds of Prey in Eliat (Israel).

Anthony Jack represents IAF at the European Continental Section of ICBP in Velegrad (Hungary); ICBP recommends collaboration with bona fide falconry organisations.

C. de Coune attends the Plenary Meeting of the 'Intergroup Fieldports, Woodland and Environment' of the European Parliament on the topic: 'Should the Directive 79/409 be amended or completed?'.

IAF holds its general meeting in Perth (Australia).
REPORT
on the
GENERAL MEETING
1987

(from left to right: Pentsloff, Caproni, Sapara, de Coune, Pils, Jack, Meijvogel, de Bruin)

PERG(Austria)
SATURDAY, 17th OCTOBER 1987

Opening of the meeting.

The president thanks the Österreichischer Falkeband and Heinz Pils for having been kind enough to host our general meeting.

The president expresses his gratitude to the members who bothered to come to Peru, which for some of them meant a very long journey. IAF must be grateful to the members who accept the invitation to attend the general meeting. It is essential to the life of IAF that once a year, at least, the members sit around a table and discuss their views and take the important decisions which commit falconers associations of 16 countries.

Report on activities.

The IAF Newsletter N°1/87 had been distributed to the participants. It contains the report on the activities since our last general meeting.

XVIIIth Conference of the European Continental Section of ICBP, (Viareggio, Hungary, May 1987). IAF was represented by Anthony Jack.

Cees de Bruin congratulates and thanks Anthony for his excellent report.

J. Melijvoel wonders what part we could take in the struggle against illegal traffic in birds of prey and how we can prevent people from earning money with birds of prey.

C. de Coume replies that one must make the difference between birds taken from the wild and captive bred ones. IAF disapproves only the trade in birds taken from the wild. It is not illegitimate to sell captive bred birds.

Anthony Jack, about the illegal traffic, suggests that the members exchange their data in order to make up a "black list". C. de Coume says that IAF has asked more than once to the members to send informations on this subject, but nothing has been forwarded so far.

If some form of collaboration is being created between ICBP and IAF, we could then give the data that we would have been able to gather. The collaboration with ICBP must be based on a mutual exchange of informations.

There must be very strict criteria for the informations we would report: only proven facts may be taken into consideration.

We are still in the situation that what is being said or written of illegal traffic in birds of prey is essentially based on mere assumptions.

This attitude must change.

If falconry is said to cause illegal traffic in birds of prey, evidence of it must be given.

The US Fish and Wildlife Service has organized a large scale inquiry about this traffic, the result has been poor compared to the enormous means which have been used. The USFW has admitted in a recent report that "the known illegal take is smaller (than the legal one) and does not alter the conclusions that falconry and raptor propagation have no impact on raptor resources".

It is a matter of fact that most of birds of prey are increasing.

We shall wait for the findings of the "International Enforcement Committee" which WBCS has decided in Hungary to set up.

IAF is determined to collaborate in the struggle against illegal traffic in birds of prey.

IAF insists upon all our members to receive every information concerning illegal activities involving birds of prey.

C. de Coume will keep trying to obtain similar informations from bird conservation organisations.

+++

Concerning the problem created by the Convention on Pet Animals, Umberto tells us that the problem has arisen in USA. Cees de Bruin informs us that, in Holland, there is a list of the animals which may be kept as pets; it is not a law but a political declaration: parrots are not even on the list.

+++

It is decided that IAF will protest against overprotective law on falconry in Germany unless DFG considers that it is not opposed.

Peter Sapara does not ask that we should protest. He explains that only falconers may keep protected birds of prey. In the future, the 'falkenhofen' will no longer be allowed to get new protected birds. It remains possible to get non-indigenous birds as they are not regulated so far; they might be in the future.

It is agreed that IAF should stand up in case unfavourable laws on falconry are to be adopted.

+++

About the situation in Italy, Umberto Caproni explains us that the Supreme Court has refused the referendum on field sports. The hunters would have let the falconers down in case the referendum would have been allowed. Now the conservationists try to organise local referendums: some regions have refused it. Umberto thinks that it will be refused everywhere.

He asks IAF to keep good relations with the authorities.

+++

Anthony Jack has been told that the Environmental Assessment Falconry of the US Fish and Wildlife Service will eventually be adopted.

+++

Other Clubs

A. Jack considers that we may accept those clubs if they commit themselves to adhere to our Code of Conduct.

Umberto Caproni says that those clubs are better known by the member club than by anybody else. He would resign from IAF if we trust unreliable people. If they were reliable they would be member of the Circulo.
C. de Coune replied him that our statutes provide for a right of veto for the member club of the country of the applicants; he confirms that IAP does not accept to correspond with non member club of a member country unless there would be a formal application for membership.

C. de Coune says that an Italian "other club" has proposed to limit falconry to captive bred birds. He calls on all the members in order that they try their best to avoid that non member clubs make statements which are contrary to the policy of IAP or contrary to the interests of falconry in general.

Peter Sapara explained us that people separated from DFO because it is too strict; the biggest of those clubs tries to contact DFO but it has always rejected those attempts. It would make no difference for DFO if it had more members. It is always DFO which is consulted by the officials.

Peter Sapara tells us that DFO has no contacts with DDR except with past DFO members. This country has no falconers association.

Concerning the official registration of our statutes, Anthony Jack says that it is not necessary as far as Great Britain is concerned.

C. de Bruin says that in Netherlands, IGBP has no registered statutes and that therefore it may not receive money but it has a foundation which may receive money.

C. de Coune says that he will consult the competent ministry in Belgium in order to make out if it is necessary to have our statutes registered as an official international association.

As far as the finances of the IAF are concerned, Heinz Pils asks that the contribution to CIC should be paid prior to funding a trip to the RAP meeting in USA.

Anthony Jack and C. de Coune say that it is more urgent to send somebody to the RAP meeting than to pay the CIC contribution.

It is agreed that we pay one year contribution to CIC and that we fund a trip to USA.

Anthony Jack says that the British Falcons Club commits itself to cover all expenses.

C. de Coune closes the meeting by thanking the members who attended. If nobody attends, there would be no General Meeting and if there is no general meeting there would be no IAF at all. IAF owes its life to the participation of its members.

---

**REPORT ON ACTIVITIES**

**1988**

**EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES**

I attended as an observer a meeting of the Intergroup on Animal Welfare of the European Parliament.

This Intergroup deals mainly with cruelty towards animals, like bullfights (they are against) and the like.

It has never dealt with falconry. They nevertheless could find that falconry is cruel or that we excite the aggressivity of animals against each other. One never knows!

They have discussed about the proposal for resolution tabled by the Intergroup Field Sports, Woodland and Environment about the possibility of hunting corvids. Some have spoken of proliferation of certain bird species (crows) likely to be harmful for other species. Some consider that it is opportune to control them but the majority advocates for a strict application of the Directive. They say that the nature has its own means of control: that it is not proven that there is any abnormal and dangerous proliferation, the censuses do not prove it. There may be local problems but they do not justify measures at the level of the whole Common Market. It is not proven that the intervention of hunters is necessary.

Contrary to my habit, I did not intervene because this Intergroup is hostile to field sports. It could have led to a debate against falconry which would have been approved by the majority.

My presence will be mentioned on the report of the meeting: it is always good that one knows that IAF exists and that it attends the meetings.

The RC Commission had published a book on "the state of the environment in the Community" where the Goshawk was shown as having become very rare in some countries and was extinct in Great Britain.

I protested against it and the Commission has promised me to correct those statements in their next issues. They sent me the new issue where it appears that my requests have been taken into consideration.

This shows that it is sometimes worthwhile to protest against adverse statements.

The Committee of the Environment of the European Parliament has asked Mr. Muntz, MEP-SP, to make a report on the implementation in the EEC of the Directive 794/409, of the Bern Convention and of the CITES.

Although falconry was not quoted, the draft report contained statements which could prove detrimental to falconry.
Illegal trade in birds of prey is shown as one of the main items in illegal wildlife traffic. Germany was again pointed at as the center of the commerce. Great Britain is said to have banned trade in birds of prey with Germany (it was true but it lasted only 14 month and related to any wildlife). He says that the illegal trade is 10 time bigger than the legal one!!!

The officials are said to refrain from intervening in order to stop those illegal practices. EEC...

Sckart Schorsin sent to the Committee a very thorough reply.

I sent a counter report refuting Mrs Huntingh's statements and calling upon the Committee to retain only those statements that are supported by strong evidence (as far as our interests are concerned, none were). I attached to my letter the RRF Resolution of Sacramento.

I attended two meetings of the Committee of the Environment at the EU Parliament in Brussels.

Contrary to what the Council of Europe, the observer was not given the possibility of intervening.

Outside the meeting hall, I had a conversation with Mrs Huntingh. He was upset by my report and told me that I had no reason to complain because falconry was not dealt with, adding that he is not responsible for the interpretation that people may make of his text.

I said that he has no proofs of what he says, he told me that NGO's had been consulted and that there are a lot of testimonies.

He even said me that there are a lot of birds of prey attached to their nest with chains.

To conclude he said to me that he would change nothing to his text.

After that, I had a conversation with his assistant, Mr Romijn, who had taken an important part in Huntingh's report.

He told me that his work had been based on three different sources of information: 1) hearsays from NGO's (eg RSBP), 2) CITES statistics, 3) direct contacts with some countries.

I said that he does not prove that illegal traffic is of such a scale that it may be called one of the main items in the illegal trade. He replied that he did not say that it happens in great number and that it is not important that those transactions are numerous, a small number is enough to cause him to request a more stringent control.

He asked if I have proofs that the illegal trade is minimal. I said that my belief comes from the lack of proofs that a sizable traffic exists, in spite of my several requests for such proofs.

This issue proves that there are still a lot of misunderstanding and exaggeration about falconry to be coped with!

This Directive is currently preparing a Directive on Fauna, Flora and Habitats (FPH).

The birds are not included in it because they have already their own directive.

It is nonetheless not impossible that this Directive might be extended to the birds in the future.

It is a very comprehensive document.

If applied as such to the birds, it could be very dangerous for us as the derogations are too limited. It is somewhat the same case as it was for the proposal of the present Directive 79/499.

I gave my remarks to Yves Leccq (FACE) he conveyed them, with his own ones to the Commission.

Some amendments have been made to the draft thanks to Dr Leccq's intervention.

The provisions for derogations have been extended in such a way that we should no longer worry for the future.

I avail myself of this opportunity to point out that Dr Leccq's collaboration is a most valuable one.

He worked his way into all the important circles and is the person who is very much listened to by all the influential people.

He has introduced me to a lot of such people. He himself does not miss an opportunity to defend our interests.

Last but not least, he informs me of the important issues.

I call on all our European members to take the necessary steps to join FACE (23-25 rue de la Science, 1040 Brussels).

+ + + + +

The EEC Court of Justice has made an important decision in the case against France.

Amongst others, it has given a definition of 'small quantities' which is one of the main criteria for granting derogations according to art 9.1.c of the Directive.

It has also allowed certain devices like nets or lime sticks which are otherwise prohibited. We may conclude that falconry is all the more unquestionable.

+ + + + +

According to the Bird Directive, all the birds occurring in Europe must be protected. The birds which may be hunted are listed on an appendix; in fact it relates only to traditional game species.

The draft Directive has an appendix with a list of birds that could be hunted although not being traditional game species (amongst others, corvids).

This list has not been retained for the definitive text of the Directive.

Those birds must thus be protected. That's why Germany now protects the corvids.

By the circular 1/86, I suggested to the members to ask to their Ministry to file a request to the Commission to allow in their country to hunt corvids or other species which are not on the list of the birds that may be hunted.

The British Falconers Club has made such a request as well as myself.

I do not know if any other member club has done so.
COUNCIL OF EUROPE

The Council has produced a "European Convention for the Protection of Pet Animals"

The definition of a pet animal is: "any animal kept or intended to be kept by man in particular in his household for private enjoyment and companionship."

The provisions which worried me are:

In the preamble: "Considering that the keeping of specimen of wild fauna as pet animals should not be encouraged".

In the Convention itself: "the possible negative consequences for the health and well-being of wild animals if they were to be acquired or introduced as pet animals"

It would be very far fetched to use this convention against falconry, but one never knows...

I obtained an appointment with Mr Ausens who is the lawyer responsible for this convention.

My aim was to demonstrate that falconry birds are not pets.

In short my arguments were that the falconers do not keep hawks for pleasure but in order to have them perform a certain work. It is this work which is the source of enjoyment and not the fact of keeping hawks in captivity.

Unlike the dog, the hawk is not the companion of man but it is the necessary means for practising falconry.

Falconry is not contrary to the well-being of the hawks, otherwise there would be signs of their suffering, quod non...

Our hawks do nothing else than what they would do in the wild, they only do it when and where we want.

He told me that he was aware of the problem of falconry.

His problem had been to give a definition of "pet animal", he did not want to be too restrictive but without opening too many doors.

The definition is quite open to interpretations.

He admits some exceptions like for instance race horses or sledge dogs because they are kept for performing a certain work.

He asked whether our members keep raptors only to hunt with. I said that the birds which are not kept for hunting are for captive breeding in order to produce falconry birds.

The interpretation of the Convention does not belong to the Council but the signatory countries, the Council may only give orientations.

The same problem has arisen in USA; I asked NAFA what had been the official attitude towards falconry. Jim Weaver wrote me that whenever the problem arose, they always succeeded in having falconry kept away from laws on pet animals. This letter was not sufficient for Mr Ausens, he wanted official texts, which I asked again to NAFA but could get none.

I should ask again.

As you may remember (see Newsletter 1/1987 p5), I applied for IAF's admission as observer at the meeting of the Standing Committee of the Bern Convention.

If 1/3 of the parties oppose the candidature at least one month before the meeting, the application is rejected. I was confident that I would be admitted like the previous year.

24 hours before the deadline for opposition, the Secretariat of the Council received objections from exactly 1/3 of the Parties. It is obvious that somebody has done some lobby against my candidature!

But who?

I am very fond of attending that meeting as it is a very good opportunity to meet very important people who would otherwise be very difficult to come across.

It is also very important to show that falconry is represented. Last but not least, it gives the possibility to intervene to defend the interests of falconry, if needed.

Thanks to Yves Lacrosse, there was a solution! I have been admitted as a member of PACE's delegation.

To my eyes, the most interesting issue was the examination of the Biennal Reports (1985-86).

As explained in Newsletter 1/1987 p7, those reports are a kind of questionnaire by which the Standing Committee can check to which extent the Bern Convention has been implemented in the signatory countries.

There was a question about the derogations given for falconry. I was really eager to learn what the different countries had declared about falconry.

I am very sorry to say that, as a whole, those reports were very disappointing: 13 countries out of 21 had replied to the inquiry; none of those reports were really rudimentary.

I expected to have an official and reliable source of information on falconry and other derogations of interest to us.

The Chairman believes that the Contracting Parties should make a greater effort to record exceptions systematically and that article 9 is not properly implemented.

Among the statements likely to interest us, I picked:

Falconry is almost inexistent in Portugal.

Denmark has allowed the "capture" of 646 birds of prey (Buzzards, Goshawks, Sparrowhawks) with guns or traps. They were not necessarily killed. About the impact on populations, the report says: some negative effects but not alarming. I have used this statement in my argumentation aiming at reauthorizing falconry.

Ireland has given a very detailed report on falconry; they have allowed the capture from the wild of 4 Peregrines in 1985, 12 Sparrowhawks in 1985 and 19 in 1986. 100% of the falconry birds are from wild origin.

Spain estimates the population of Peregrines at 17,000 pairs. An average of 30 birds a year are being taken from the wild. They say that the main difficulty is to struggle against the illegal traffic of eggs and birds, young and adults.

Falconry is not authorized in Norway; the government gives permits to kill Goshawks, Sparrowhawks and Golden Eagles which can cause damage.
Holland: the Goshawk population is said to be of 100 pairs (the official figures of 1987 are 1300-1700 pairs).

It has to be noted that falconry is not quoted by the Convention, art 9 mentions "wise use" as a reason for granting derogations. The fact that falconry appears on the Biennial Reports means that the Council considers that falconry is a "wise use".

The Council has reproached that none of the Contracting parties have laws protecting non-native species. This is rather worrying as falconry uses exotic species in some countries, amongst others because they are not protected and no licences are requested to keep them. This phenomenon is in fact a consequence of overprotecting laws. Such countries should be prepared to changes in this situation in the future.

Unlike the EEC Directive, the Bern Convention is not limited to the species occurring in Europe.

Outside the conference hall, I had the opportunity to talk to some people - this is in fact one of the reasons why I like to attend this meeting.

EEC: The Commission will issue a report where it will be confirmed that falconry is a reason for granting derogations.

TURKEY:
For the first time, they have a system of licences for falconry. Falcons must declare their birds. The aim of this is to have a census of the raptors captured on the mountains. The keeping session lasts 2-3 weeks. Falconry poses no problems to the quails because there are many of them in the area near the Black Sea where hunting is practised. A lot of quails are killed by other means, but falconers do not kill a lot. The officials do not want to stop falconry as it is a traditional activity, they just want to make a census.

PORTUGAL:
Falconry is not prohibited but very few people practice it. He believes that there happen sometimes illegal things. I said that, better than anyone, a well organized falconry association is able to control and to control that the members follow the laws and ethics.

FINLAND:
They gave up exporting goshawks because one chap had taken more goshawks than allowed and exported them illegally to USA (California) and Germany. I said that if it were reauthorized, it would make no difference for those who do not care for laws: prohibited or not, the poachers do not mind. I recommended not to issue permits for a large number of birds to one person as they would most probably be sold, which is contrary to IAP's policy which disapprove selling wild caught birds. I said that he should be reassured by the controls exerted by CITES which makes it far too dangerous to acquire a bird which is not covered by a CITES certificate. No falconer would be silly enough to take the risk of keeping a hawk for which he does not have the proper CITES documents. He would risk his hobby.

AUSTRIA:
Falconers pass illegal birds as having been bred in captivity.
I call on all of you to propose your collaboration to your national section of ICBP but under the same conditions I myself laid down.

The World Working Group on Birds of Prey of the ICBP has published a report of a Pakistani professor concerning 'serious threats against falcons in Pakistan'. It deals with the trade with Arabs. He also expresses his worries about the alarming situation of the Houbara.

He claims that one falconer can catch 25-30 Gazelles a day! He mistakes the Saker for the Lanner in his summary of the situation of the falcons in Pakistan: it appears from it that there is a lack of data on the situation of most falcon species. He concludes that it is urgent to have the recommendation made in Peshawar in 1983 for a total ban on capture and export of falcons implemented.

I am trying my best to get informations enabling me to reply to that report.

RAPTOR RESEARCH FOUNDATION

Robert Kenward attended on behalf of IAF the general meeting of RRF in Boise (USA).

The issue of interest for us is still the Falconry Position Statement which is being worked out since two years ago.

This document is very important to us because it would be practically the first official statement on falconry made by a well-known scientific organisation.

The final draft of this document is rather favourable to us although a bit weaker than the first ones.

If adopted without being further weakened, this document could be used as a scientific support of falconry especially of the possibility to take hawks from the wild.

This document is now handled by Robert. He has to cope with some opposition against the principle of RRF issuing a statement about falconry.

B.U.N.D.

The German association for the Protection of Nature and Environment (BUND) together with the Committee against Bird Murder has organized a symposium on 'The dangers for conservation of captive breeding'. I have been most alarmed by this symposium. I felt that it was a 'scientific' part of a strategy against falconry. I alerted several people and tried to get a maximum of elements to counter the possible arguments 'proving' that captive breeding is noxious.

Christian Saar, Peter Sapara and myself attended the symposium. Christian made a very good intervention during the meeting. Contrary to my fears, nothing very serious has been said that could be used against our captive breeding.

This symposium shows at least that the opponents to falconry are very active and well organized.

CITES

The Conference of the Parties will take place in 1989. It was planned to be in Bali (Indonesia) but they recently changed their mind, the place is not yet announced.

It is not yet known if any issue of interest for us will be on the agenda.

I remain watchful.

BENELUX

The Committee of the Ministers of the Benelux is working out a decision on falconry.

I have drafted a proposal which seems to have good chances to be taken over. I am actively following the work of the committee and so does Adrian Molina.

If we succeed, it will be another international legal text in favour of falconry. It could be used in other countries as an argument in our favour.

FACE

I am in constant contact with Yves Lecocq. As I mentioned herein before, Dr Lecocq is helping me a lot for my contacts with the EBC and the Council of Europe.

In theory, IAF cannot be member of FACE as international associations are not admitted but national ones are.

The British Falconers Club is a member of FACE, as far as I know it is the only falconers association in that case. It would be of great benefit if, like BFC, your association would join FACE.

C.I.C.

I attach the report of the Working Group for Falconry and Conservation of birds of Prey.

At its general meeting, CIC has adopted a recommendation to the governments in favour of falconry.

CIC's recommendations carry a lot of weight on the international level.

Those recommendations are useful only if they are being given a maximum of publicity.

I enclose this recommendation in order that you take the necessary steps to have it published in the hunters magazines of your country. Please do it.
NON MEMBER COUNTRIES

U.S.A.

Operation Falcon is now far behind us. It is almost the only hard data on traffic in birds of prey.

Read in a report on the Operation that 12 people have been confirmed to be directly involved in the International Traffic, 200 captives have been illegally taken, sold, imported etc. by them.

Taking into account less which obviously were counted twice, I reckoned 200 birds (instead of 200). It happened that one person had been caught for importing 1 bird, another chap had been caught and condemned for having purchased those 10 birds. Those birds were counted twice, 2 x 10 birds.

AUS Fish and Wildlife Service agents have taken part in the Operation during 3 years. That makes, according to my calculation, 1/5 bird per year per agent.

Some of those birds had been taken from the wild by USFWS agents and proposed at the throw away price to falconers or others: the natural weakness of some people made them accept the deal and they were caught. (in Europe, the agent would also have been sentenced).

U.S.S.R.

A conference on falconry has been held in October 1987 in Russia. I have been trying to get information about the conference but so far I could not get any. The proceedings of the conference are in Russian.

I wrote to a Russian biologist, whom I met in Brussels a few years ago, about it and about other things but I got no reply. I very recently succeeded in contacting this gentleman thanks to the intervention of the Belgian Embassy in Moscow.

There are a good many of falconers in USSR. It would be of great interest if IAP succeeds in creating contacts with that part of the world.

TURKEY

ICFB has published information about falconry in Turkey. They say that thousands of birds of prey are shot to feed the sparrowshawls and that over 10,000 sparrowshawls were caught during autumn 1987, the majority of which died in captivity or after having been released, they say.

I contacted the author of that report to get more precise information but he gave me only delaying replies.

I also wrote to the Turkish delegate to the Standing Committee of the Bern Convention whom I knew but he did not reply nor did the Turkish correspondent of CIC.

It is very difficult to know what happens in that country. It is to be hoped that such information does not risk to pose serious problems to the local falconers.

I do not lose sight of this matter.

If any member knows anything about what happens in Turkey. I would be grateful to him to contact me.

It is for the sake of international solidarity with falconers that I ask your help.

MEXICO

Mr. Federico Medrano, president of the Association Mexicana de Cetreria expressed his wish that Mexico would become member of IAP.

I do not have any information about falconry in Mexico but I hope to get some. If anybody of you can help our members to make an opinion, I would be grateful to inform me.

There is a rather important market of birds of prey in Mexico. The most traded birds are: Harris hawks, Red Tailed Buzzards, American Kestrels.

It seems that this market is decreasing as keeping birds of prey is becoming less in fashion than some years ago.

There is nevertheless that the Mexican authorities take too far reaching measures of protection, leaving no possibility for falconry.

Miscellaneous countries.

I received letters from Brazil, Taiwan and Argentina.

MEMBER COUNTRIES

DENMARK

Niels Tostrup, Thorstrupsstr. 15 DK-8803 THORSO, has been appointed president of the Dansk Falkonerselskab. I wish to express my sincerest congratulations.

At the general meeting of 1986, we adopted a recommendation to the Danish government asking to reauthorize falconry which was banned since 1967.

In September 1987, the Danish authorities invited two falconers (Ekkehard Schaefer and Patrick Morel) to make a demonstration, which they did marvellously. I was also invited. We strongly pleaded for falconry. Although our point of view was approved by the majority of the Wildlife Committee, the decision was negative. There was nevertheless a possibility of appealing against this decision. I sent an additional report to the authorities. The day before the Committee was to meet for taking a decision, the government fell and the meeting was cancelled.

Some months later, the Minister of Agriculture wrote me that he did not feel to be in a position which would justify his revoking his decision. I sent again a report with an additional argument: the resolution of the Economic and Social Committee of the EEC approving falconry. The Ministry replied (10/88/88) that my letter had been forwarded to the Hunting and Wildlife Administration for comments and that the Minister will answer my letter as soon as possible.

Of course our Danish friends are very active in this matter, a very tough job for the newly appointed president.

Our Danish friends ask all the members to obtain from managers of zoologic parks and statements by which they would declare that falconry offers the best way of keeping birds of prey in the best physical and mental conditions. Please try your best.

The manager of the Copenhagen Zoo is claiming against falconry saying that it is cruel towards our hawks!!
I have an abundant correspondence with Anthony Jack and with Robert Kenward; I wish to thank them for their valuable co-operation, and for their support to IAF's activities.

I received a letter from the British Falcons Club asking me information about a Belgian falconer who applied for membership. I take this opportunity to call on all of our member clubs in order that they systematically consult the national association when they have an application for membership from a national of a foreign country.

I attended the dinner given by the British Falcons Club on the occasion of their 60th anniversary. I wish to thank them and to congratulate them for the beautiful reception and for their anniversary.

A conference has been organized by the Hawk Board at the University of Birmingham with the title: 'The Goshawk Workshop'.

FRANCE

Charles de Ganay has been awarded the Personality of the Year in recognition of the services he has rendered. We must all be happy that this award has been given to a falconer. I wish to express to Charles my most sincere congratulations.

The French authorities are currently working out a new law on falconry. The taking of goshawks from the wild is allowed for the Goshawk and the Sparrowhawk, the birds must be ringed. A falconers' examination is organised. It is only a draft.

NETHERLANDS

This country is also working out a new legislation. The existing law is the most restrictive law of all the countries where falconry is practised: only two species may be used for hawking: the Goshawk and the Peregrine Falcon; although goshawks have a very large population (1200-1700 pairs) the possibility of taking even a small number from the wild is refused. It is to be hoped that the new legislation will give to falconers more freedom.

A conference will be held in January 89 on the topic: 'Future prospects of falconry' (Valkerie in Perspectief). This conference is a very important event at the moment that the authorities are preparing a new legislation on falconry. I very much the honour to have been asked to speak at that conference. I need that all our members send me the legislation of their country. It is absolutely necessary that I am in possession of those texts very soon in order to enable me to prepare my lecture. I shall be a circular about it but I must say that the result of it is a peaceful disappointing. I will be able to give this lecture only if you send me those texts. Otherwise...

ITALY

Italy is threatened for several years by a referendum about field sports. A referendum may be authorized only if the application is supported by 500,000 signatures. Six years ago, such a project obtained 600,000 signatures but the government did refuse it because the questions were not properly drafted. The opponents to field sports have filled a new request backed by 800,000 signatures, it has again been refused. Now they try to organize regional referendums but it is not sure that it is legally possible.

In the meantime a law proposal has been drafted, it follows exactly the requirements of the EEC, it does not ban falconry. This draft law has not yet been proposed to the Parliament, they wait for the results of the referendum. When the draft will be tabled before the Parliament it is not impossible that the 'green' will try to introduce in it a prohibition of falconry. All this can still last quite long. The Circolo follows this matter very actively.

Anything that happens in a country is always likely to have international interest. There are no national issues. We must all feel concerned.

SPAIN

IGBP had sent to its members a petition asking to the Government to cancel a project of establishing a military camp in an area of interest especially rich in rare birds of prey. I sent this petition in the name of IAF. I received a reply stating that the necessary steps had been taken to have this area declared protected.

The President of the Asociacion Espanola de Cetreria had proposed to hold the XIXth anniversary meeting in Spain; I had already accepted DFO's invitation so I could not accept the Spanish proposal.

I wish in any case to thank the AEC for its kind invitation.

I shall end this report on IAF's activities by calling on all our members' collaboration.

In order to fulfill its mission of representing and defending falconry, IAF needs sometimes the collaboration of its members, amongst others by supplying me with some informations pertaining to their country or to their membership. I am sorry to say that it is sometimes very difficult if not impossible to get those informations.

I sent last year a questionnaire and a reminder this year to our 17 member clubs; I received only 6! You will understand that it is essential to me to know what is going on in the member countries. When you are obliged to reply 'sorry I am not aware of that', the representativeness of IAF suffers a lot! It would be very useful, in this respect, to send your publications to IAF. It is a very good source of informations.

Please collaborate.
EMPFEHLUNG

DER INTERNATIONALE JAGDRAT ZUR ERHALTUNG DES WILDES (CIC)

empfiehlt den Regierungen und den für Jagd und Naturschutz
zuständigen Behörden im Hinblick darauf

daß die Beizjagd eine erhaltenswerte Jagdart ist;

daß für die Ausübung der Beizjagd geschützte Greifvögel der Natur
entnommen und nachgezüchtet werden müssen;

damit unter streng überwachten Bedingungen selektiv der Fang und
die Haltung bestimmter Greifvogelarten in geringen Mengen
ermöglicht werden kann;

eine den Richtlinien des CIC entsprechende gesetzliche Regelung
der Falknerei und der Greifvogelhaltung zu erlassen.
ZUSAMMENFASSUNG


Für den Kassabericht wurde die Entlastung erteilt.


Von der Arbeitsgruppe wurden Richtlinien und eine Empfehlung für die Zucht von Greifvögeln, sowie Richtlinien für die Abhaltung von Falknerprüfungsvorlesungen beschlossen.

T.A.M. Jack wurde als Vertreter der Arbeitsgruppe beim Internationalen Rat für Vogelschutz ICBP nominiert.

Christian de Couronne wurde beauftragt Kontakt mit der Vereinigung der Jagdverbände der GB-Länder FACK aufzunehmen.

Die Arbeitsgruppe wird bei der Generalversammlung 1988 in Florenz einen Film von Claus Fentzloff "Heller an Europas Küsten" vorführen und das Projekt zur Rechtung des Madagaskarflandadlers vorstellen.


II. Zucht

1. Die Zucht von Greifvögeln erfolgt

1.1. durch natürliche Reproduktion bei speziell für die Zucht in Volieren gehaltenen Paaren;

1.2. durch künstliche Insemination (Besamung).
2. Bei Auswahl der Zuchtvögel für Auswilderungsvorhaben ist auf regionale und artenspezifische Zugehörigkeit der Partner zu achten, um eine Reinhaltung der Arten zu gewährleisten und eine Verfälschung der Fauna auszuschließen.

III. Kontrolle

1. Der Verlauf der Zucht soll kontrollierbar sein, um Mißbrauch durch vorgeätzte Zuchten ausschließen zu können. Deshalb müssen Züchter ein Zuchtbuch oder eine Zuchtdatei zur Aufzeichnung folgender Angaben führen:
   Art und Alter der Brutvögel;
   Legetermin des 1. Eies;
   Brutbeginn;
   Anzahl der Eier des vollständigen Geleges;
   Schlupftermin des 1. Küken;
   Anzahl der aufgezogenen Jungen.


Bei Falknerprüfungen sollen ausreichende Kenntnisse über die im folgenden angeführten Sachgebiete gefordert werden.

I. Gesetzliche Regelung der Falknerei und der Greifvogelhaltung

1. Rechtliche Stellung der Falknerei
2. Beschaffung von Greifvögeln für die Falknerei
3. Haltung von Greifvögeln
4. Abtragen von Beizvögeln
5. Die Beizjagd
6. Der verbotene Beizvogel
7. Fund von flugunfähigten Greifvögeln
8. Das Auswilder von Greifvögeln

II. Gesetzliche Regelung des Greifvogelschutzes
III. Greifvogelkunde
1. Allgemeine Merkmale der verschiedenen Greifvogelfamilien
2. Kennzeichen, Verbreitung, Lebensraum, Ernährung, Fortpflanzung und Gefährdung der einzelnen Greifvogelarten

IV. Greifvogelschutz
1. Ursachen des Rückganges der Greifvogelpopulationen
2. Mögliche Maßnahmen zur Erhaltung von in ihrem Bestand bedrohten Greifvogelarten

V. Voraussetzungen für die Haltung von Greifvögeln und die Ausübung der Beizjagd
1. Zeitaufwand und Unterbringungsmöglichkeit
2. Revisiterung für Übungsflüge und Jagd

VI. Beschaffung von Greifvögeln für die Falknerei
1. Fang oder Aushorsten im Rahmen der gesetzlichen Regelungen
   1.1. Nestlinge
   1.2. Eistlinge
   1.3. Wildjunge
2. Zucht

VII. Aufzucht von Nestlingen

VIII. Sachgemäße Greifvogelhaltung
1. Allgemeine Grundsätze
2. Falknerische Haltung von Greifvögeln
   2.1. Das Geschirr
   2.2. Die Haltung am Block oder Surenkel
   2.3. Die Flugdrahtanlage
   2.4. Die Haltung am hohen Reck
   2.5. Die Haltung am Rundreck
   2.6. Vollierenhaltung
3. Anfertigung und praktische Handhabung von Falknergeräten

IX. Allgemeine Kenntnisse über die Mauser

X. Die richtige Jagdform des Beizvogels

XI. Die zur Beizjagd verwendeten Greifvogelarten
1. Vögel vom Hohen Flug
2. Vögel vom Niederen Flug

XII. Das Abtragen von Beizvögeln
1. Die Ausrüstung des Falkners
2. Allgemeine Kenntnisse über das Abtragen
   2.1. Richtige Kondition
   2.2. Locke machen
   2.3. Verhauben
   2.4. Beireiten
   2.5. Federspularbeit
   2.6. Einfliegen auf den Balg
3. Das Abtragen der Vögel vom Niederen Flug
4. Das Abtragen der Vögel vom Hohen Flug
   4.1. Der Faustfalke
   4.2. Der Anwartefalke

XIII. Die Beizjagd
1. Jagd mit Vögeln vom Niederen Flug
2. Jagd mit Vögeln vom Hohen Flug
   2.1. Der Faustfalke
   2.2. Der Anwartefalke

XIV. Helfer des Beizvogels
1. Der Jagdhund
   1.1. Haltung und Führung von Jagdhunden für die Beizjagd
   1.2. Der Vorstehhund
   1.3. Der Stüberhund
2. Das Prettchen
   2.1. Haltung und Verwendung des Prettchens für die Beizjagd

XV. Das Beizwild
1. Die wichtigsten Beizwildarten
  1.1. Der Hase
  1.2. Das Kaninchen
  1.3. Der Fuchs
  1.4. Der Hasel
  1.5. Rebhuhn, Rothuhn, Hoornahneschuh
  1.6. Enten
  1.7. Rabenvögel
2. Das Versorgen des Beizwildes

XVI. Verstoßen von Beizwildern
  1. Regeln um die Gefahr einen Beizvogel durch Verstoßen zu verlieren möglichst klein zu halten
  2. Maßnahmen zur Wiederherstellung eines verstoßenen Beizvogels

XVII. Der kranke Greifvogel
  1. Allgemeines Aussehen des gesunden und des kranken Greifvogels
  2. Federkleid
  3. Erkrankungen der Haut
  4. Erkrankungen der Hände
  5. Erkrankungen der Schnabelhöhle und des Rachenraumes
  6. Erkrankungen von Kropf, Haken und Darm
  7. Erkrankungen der Atmungsorgane
  8. Krummzähne, Kämmungen der Hände und Schwingen
  9. Knochenbrüche
  10. Vergiftungen
  11. Vitamin- und Mineralstoffmangel
  12. Verbrechung von Medikamenten
  13. Behandlung von geschwächten Greifvögeln

XVIII. Die Falknersprache

XIX. Geschichtlicher Überblick der Falknerzeit
RECOMMENDATION

THE INTERNATIONAL COUNCIL FOR GAME CONSERVATION ( CIC )

wants to stress that
- an essential contribution to the conservation of endangered species
  is made by the release of captive bred birds of prey;
- by breeding birds of prey highly endangered species can be preserved
  until a time when their survival in nature becomes possible again;
- the falconers' need for hawking birds can be sufficed to a large extent
  by captive breeding;

THE INTERNATIONAL COUNCIL FOR GAME CONSERVATION expects from governments and
authorities responsible for nature and game conservation that
- appropriate laws and orders will not impede using best the breeding of
  birds of prey with the goal of conserving endangered species and guarantee
  the falconers' supply of falcons;
- the state subvents and supports the breeding of birds of prey in order
  to conserve the species.

The CIC recommends the acceptance and obedience of the CIC's principles
for the breeding of birds of prey.

GUIDELINES FOR THE BREEDING OF BIRDS OF PREY

I. Principles

1. The breeding of birds of prey should only be undertaken by persons and
   institutions who make proof of the necessary reliability and sufficient
   knowledge about keeping and care.

2. Birds of prey shall only be bred
   2.1. in order to supply for the releasing and support of rest populations
        or repopulation of abandoned but intact biotopes;
   2.2. in order to conserve endangered species until the time when their
        survival in free nature becomes possible again;
   2.3. in order to facilitate scientific research projects, which help
        to solve biological questions or serve as basis for conservation
        programmes of species;
   2.4. in order to supply the necessary hawking birds for hunting;
   2.5. in order to serve as supply for zoos, bird sanctuaries, approved
        falconries and birds of prey stations appropriate to give information
        about the species, the way of life and the necessity of protection
        of birds of prey.

II. Breeding

1. The breeding of birds of prey is done
   1.1. by natural reproduction of breeding pairs held in aviaries especially
        for that purpose;
   1.2. by artificial insemination.
2. When choosing breeding birds for releasing projects special attention must
   be paid to regional and genetically related partners in order to guarantee
   the purity of species and exclude a falsification of the fauna.
III. Control

1. The breeding process must be controlled in order to exclude a misuse by faked breeding. Therefore breeders must keep a breeding book or data file with the following indications:
   - species and age of the breeding birds;
   - date of lay of the first egg;
   - start of breeding;
   - number of eggs of the complete clutch;
   - date of hatching of the first hatching;
   - number of reared birdlings.

2. At a certain age the young birds are to be ringed with closed breeding rings.

Guidelines for the examination of falcons

The purpose of holding falconer's examinations is to ensure that they acquire the necessary special knowledge for falconry and the keeping of birds of prey. Thus only can it be assured that they do not offend unknowingly against the laws of their country and against the moral obligations towards the quarry and the hawking birds.

Furthermore, falconers are expected to know the indigenous birds of prey and stand up for their preservation.

Sufficient knowledge in the following special fields is indispensable.

I. Laws for falconry and the keeping of birds of prey
   1. Legal position of falconry
   2. How to obtain birds of prey for falconry
   3. Keeping birds of prey
      4. Taming of hawking birds
      5. Hawking
      6. Recovery of hawking birds
      7. Finding birds of prey unable to fly
      8. Releasing birds of prey.

II. Legal controls for the conservation of birds of prey

III. Special knowledge about birds of prey
   1. General marks of the different families of birds of prey
   2. Distinctive marks, distribution, biotope, nutrition, reproduction and endangering of the different species of birds of prey.

IV. The conservation of birds of prey
   1. Causes for the decline of birds of prey population
   2. Possible measures for the conservation of endangered species of birds of prey.
V. Requirements of the keeping of birds of prey and hawking
1. Time and facilities necessary for the keeping of birds of prey
2. Access to hunting grounds for the training and hunting.

VI. Keeping of birds of prey for falconry
1. Catching and taking from the nest within legal controls
   1.1. Nestlings
   1.2. branchers
   1.3. passage hawks
2. Breeding.

VII. Housing of nestlings

VIII. Proper keeping of birds of prey
1. General principles
2. Keeping birds of prey in the manner of falconers
   2.1. The furniture
   2.2. Keeping at perch/ block
   2.3. Keeping at the "Flugdrehtanlage" / trolley
   2.4. Keeping at the screen perch
   2.5. Keeping at the round perch
   2.6. Keeping in the aviary
3. Production and practical use of falconry equipment.

IX. General knowledge about moulting

X. The correct hunting form of the hawking bird

XI. The species of birds of prey used for hawking
1. Birds of the high flight
2. Birds of the low flight.

XII. Training of birds of prey
1. The equipment of the falconer
2. General knowledge of the training
   2.1. Correct condition
   2.2. Taming/ reclaiming
   2.3. Hauling
   2.4. Coming to the falconer's fist
   2.5. Working with the lure

2.6. Training on the pelt
3. Training of the birds of the low flight
4. Training of the birds of the high flight
   4.1. The fist falcon
   4.2. The falcon waiting on.

XIII. Hawking
1. Hunting with the birds of the low flight
2. Hunting with the birds of the high flight
   2.1. The fist falcon
   2.2. The falcon waiting on.

XIV. Helpers of the falcon
1. The hunting dog
   1.1. Keeping and training of hunting dogs for hawking
   1.2. The pointer
   1.3. The flusher
   2. The ferret
   2.1. Keeping and use of the ferret for hawking.

XV. The quarry
1. The most important species of hawking game
   1.1. the hare
   1.2. the rabbit
   1.3. the fox
   1.4. the pheasant
   1.5. the common partridge / red-legged partridge / willow grouse
   1.6. ducks
   1.7. raven birds
2. Taking care of the quarry

XVI. Lost hawks
1. How to minimize the danger of losing a hawking bird
2. How to recover a lost hawking bird.
XVII. The sick bird of prey
1. General appearance of a healthy and a sick bird of prey
2. feather coat
3. illness of skin
4. illness of feet
5. illness of inner bill and pharyngeal cavity
6. illness of the crop, stomach and intestines
7. illness of the respiratory tract
8. epileptiform fits, paralyses of feet and wings
9. bone fractures
10. cases of poisoning
11. lack of vitamins and minerals
12. administering medicine
13. treatment of weakened birds of prey.

XVIII. The language of falconry

XIX. Historical survey of falconry

Empfehlung

DER INTERNATIONALE JAGDRAT ZUR ERHALTUNG DES WILDES (CIC)

weist darauf hin

daß durch Ausbildung von gesuchten Greifvögeln in geeigneten Biotopen ein wesentlicher Beitrag zur Erhaltung gefährdeter Arten geleistet wird;

daß durch Zucht von Greifvögeln unmittelbar von Aussterben bedrohte Arten für eine Zeit erhalten werden können, in der ihnen ein Überleben in freier Natur weder möglich ist;

daß der Bedarf der Falkner an Beizvögeln zu einem großen Teil durch Zucht gedeckt wird.

erwartet von den Regierungen und den für Jagd und Naturschutz zuständigen Behörden

daß einschlägige Gesetze und Verordnungen die optimale Ausnutzung der Zucht von Greifvögeln zum Zwecke der Erhaltung bedrohten Arten und der Deckung des Bedarfes der Falkner an Beizvögeln nicht behindern;

daß die Zucht von Greifvögeln zum Zwecke der Arterhaltung staatliche Unterstützung findet.

empfiehlt die Einhaltung der Richtlinien des CIC für die Zucht von Greifvögeln.
RECOMMANDATION

Le Conseil International de la Chasse et de la Conservation du Gibier (CIC) déclare que :

- une contribution importante à la conservation des espèces en voie de disparition est effectuée grâce à la réintroduction d'oiseaux de proie nés en captivité dans des biotopes appropriés;
- grâce à la reproduction des espèces en voie de disparition, ceux-ci peuvent être conservées pour le temps où leur survie dans la nature sera à nouveau possible;
- la reproduction en captivité couvre en grande partie le besoin des fauconniers en oiseaux de proie de chasse.

Le CIC attend des gouvernements et des autorités responsables de la chasse et de la protection de la nature que :

- des lois et des arrêtés compétents ne mettent pas des obstacles à une exploitation optimale de la reproduction des oiseaux de proie dans la but de la conservation des espèces menacées et du besoin total des fauconniers en ce qui concerne les oiseaux de chasse;
- la reproduction des oiseaux de proie dans le but de conserver les espèces en voie d'extinction trouve un soutien gouvernemental.

Il recommande le maintien des directives du CIC en ce qui concerne la reproduction des oiseaux de proie.

RECOMMENDATION

THE INTERNATIONAL COUNCIL FOR GAME CONSERVATION (CIC)

wants to stress that:

- an essential contribution to the conservation of endangered species is made by the release of captive bred birds of prey;
- by breeding birds of prey highly endangered species can be preserved until a time when their survival in nature becomes possible again;
- the falconers' need for hawking birds can be satisfied to a large extent by captive breeding;

THE INTERNATIONAL COUNCIL FOR GAME CONSERVATION expects from governments and authorities responsible for nature and game conservation that:

- appropriate laws and orders will not impede using best the breeding of birds of prey with the goal of conserving endangered species and guarantee the falconers' supply of falcons;
- the state subvents and supports the breeding of birds of prey in order to conserve the species.

The CIC recommends the acceptance and obedience of the CIC's principles for the breeding of birds of prey.
Liste des Membres

Afrique du Sud : NATAL PALCROY CLUB
Président: Mr. Roy DEMONT
Twin Streams Estate
PO BOX 4243
Sääp 0397-64
Secrétaire: Mr. Tom DAVIDSON
Box 23
MOUNT EDGCUMBE 4300
Tél: 031-595305

Allemagne Fédérale : D.F.O. (Deutscher Falkenorden)
Président: Mr. Eckart SCHORMAIR
D. 2826 OTTERSEN
Dorfrasste 7
Secrétaire: Dieter Theis
Schöne Aussicht 1a
D-3554 GLADENSBACH
Tél: 06462/5113

Autriche : Österreichischer Pulknerbund (O.F.B.)
Président: Otto Graf ABENSPERG-TRAUN
A 2404 Petronell
Secrétaire: Gerhard ERNST
A II60 Wien
Sandsteinengasse 45/I/2/I2

Belgique : CLUB MARIE de BOURGOGNE
Président: Christian de Coene
"Le Cochatay"
B-3241 Somer-Andoumont
BELGIUM
Tél: 041-65 73 69
Secrétaire: Carlo VERBIST
Locquetstraat 14
B 2930 HOMMEER
Tél: (015) 415 617

Hongrie : MAGYAR MADARTAN EGYESÜLET SOLUMASZ SZAKOSZTALYA
Président: BECZTOLD Istvan
H-9730 Kőszeg
Gyöngyös-u 22
Secrétaire: SUNDAY Tábora
H-II42 Budapest
Kelen József-ú 17
Tél: 113-293 201-660 640-354

Irlande : IRISH HAWKING CLUB
Président: Dr. G.E. LUKE
Cashelard
Ballyshannon
Co DONEGAL
IRE
Secrétaire: J. DOELE
Co Rathbeale Nurseries -SWORDS
Co Dublin
Tél: Dublin 401B88

Italie : CIRCOLO DEI FALCONIERI D'ITALIA PER LO STUDIO E LA PROTEZIONE DEI RAPACI
Président: Dott. Pulco Tosti Di Valmonda
Secrétaire: Dott. Umberto Caproni Di Taliedo
Via Durini 24
Milano 20122
Tél: 799869

Japon : THE JAPAN FALCONIFORMES CENTER
Président: Seiichi Tanaka
C/o Chubu Electric Power Co.
I Toshin-cho, Aikashi-Ku,
Nagoya 461 - Japan
Tél: 052-351-8211
Secrétaire: Fusako Nakajima
470 Yamaote, Asahigaoka-cho,
Ovari,aashi 488, Aichi-ken, Japan
Tél: 0561-3-3093
Danemark : DANSK FALKONERSELSKAB
Président: Niels Totrup Thorstrupvej, 15 DK 8881 THORSGO DENMARK

Espagne: ASOCIACION ESPAÑOLA DE CETRERIA
Président: Jose Sanchez MARTIN Avenida de la Estacion, 1 P.P. FUSERANDA DE BACAMONTE Salamanca Tél: 340232 (923)
Secrétaire: D. Fernando Sanchez FERNANDEZ pte de Santa Isla de la Cabeza, 66A 28045 MADRID Tél/ 230 97 98 (evening)

France : Association Nationale des Fauconniers et Autoursiers (A.N.F.A.)
Président: Charles de Ganay Château de Fleury Fleury-en-Bière 77930 PERTHES Tél: 16-430244 et 14-5503424
Secrétaire: Gilles NORTIER 3 Route de St. Nabor 67530 OTTROTT Tél: 86-958003

Grande = Bretagne : British Falconers' Club
Président: T.A.M. JACK Blue Heron Cottage Muckton Louth Lines Tél: 05216630
Secrétaire: J.C. Chick Moonrakers Allington Salisbury Wiltz Tél: 0980610594

WELSH HAWKING CLUB
Président: Lorant de BASTYAI 41 Beauchamp Avenue LEAMINGTON SPA WARWICK
Secrétaire: W.J. SHUTTLEWORTH 21 North Close BLACKFORDSBURY NR BURTON ON TRENT DERBY

Pays-Bas : Nederlands Valkeniersverbond "ADRAN MOLLEN"
Président: C. de Bruin Oranje Nassaulaan, 49 NL 3708 GC ZEIST NEDERLANDS
Secrétaire: J. Neijmogel Cloeckendaal 32 NL 6715JH EDE

Pologne : ONTADZO SONOLIKOV
Président: Marek Cieslikowski ul. Zukuwa 14 b/4 78-400 Szczecinek Tél: 40.0.36
Secrétaire: Leszek Strzyz Lukz 2 77-230 Kopice

Suisse : Schweizerische Falkner-Vereinigung
Président: Toni Lutz Hofliebfraustrasse 15 CH 3028 SPINDEL Tél: CH 031 99 21 21
Secrétaire: Max Kaminer Am Tobel CH 9302 KRONBühl Tél: P 071 38 41 20 G 25 75 25

Tunisie : Association Nationale des Fauconniers Tunisiens (A.N.F.T.)
Président: Djeladi chedly Rue 9284- N91 Cité El Khadra II Tunis Tél: 89 39 32
Secrétaire: Ketari Abdallah El Haouaria (Cap-Bon)

U.S.A. : ALASKA FALCONERS ASSOCIATION
Vice Président : Richard E. Holmstrom SR BOX 5072 CHUGOAIK ALASKA U.S.A.